Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,550	SHIMIZU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang D. Thanh	3764
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Quang D. Thanh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Carl T. Thomsen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 October 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 13 and 17		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to cancel claims 14-16 (previously withdrawn) and minor changes in claims 13 and 17 (see examiner's amendment)		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Ung D. Wah		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)